

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/050,716	01/18/2002	Gregg D. Sucha	A8287 6834		
7	590 06/05/2003				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER		
			ZAHN, JEFFREY N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1		$\mathcal{U}$				
a'	•.	Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)				
Offic	Action Cummons	10/050,716		SUCHA ET AL.				
·	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
71 44411	NO DATE COL	Jeffrey N Zahn		2828				
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with th corresp nd nce address Period f r Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication:  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 March 2003</u> .								
2a)⊠ This action	n is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)∐ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-59</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			faul of	2			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-59</u> is/are rejected. PAUL IP							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER								
	are subject to restriction and/or	election requiremen	nt.	OLOGY CENTER 2	800			
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
<u> </u>		priority under 35 I I	S C & 110/a	\_(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No      Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	ice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper Not atent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/050,716

Art Unit: 2828

١

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 31, 35-37, 42-44, 48-53 and 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear/vague what method steps are required to isolate the laser from an external environment.

Regarding Claims 31 and 35, it is unclear/vague what steps "acoustically damped" includes, i.e. how is the claimed device acoustically damped.

Regarding Claim 36, it is unclear/vague what steps are included to "hold to zero a time-averaged cavity length mismatch of the fiber laser." Mismatch of the fiber lasers ?

Regarding Claim 37, it is unclear/vague what Applicant is claiming; "co-wrapping two fiber lasers on a single spool" without any other steps to include the configuration/operation of the fiber lasers recited in the body of the claim is indefinite.

Regarding Claims 42-44, 48-52 and 58-59, it is unclear/vague what steps are include to incorporate a piezoelectric transducer as claimed.

Regarding Claim 53, it is unclear/vague what structure "means for" refers to in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,716

Art Unit: 2828

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 30-33, 35 and 37-41, 45-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Byron et al. (US 4847843).

Regarding Claims 30, 37, 41, 45-46, 53, 54 and 57 and all claims that depend therefrom,

Byron et al. discloses a method/device that stabilizes a short-pulse fiber laser that includes;

isolating a fiber laser (abstract) from an external environment;

wrapping said fiber onto a spool (abstract); and

operating the laser while said fiber remains on the said spool (abstract).

Regarding Claim 32, it is inherent of the Byron et al. device that the thermal expansion of the spool and fiber will be substantially equivalent because of the low temperatures associated with liquid nitrogen.

Regarding Claim 33, 35, and 54-56, Byron et al. discloses a temperature-controlled enclosure (abstract; Liquid Nitrogen) as claimed. The enclosure that holds the liquid nitrogen is inherently acoustically damped to some degree.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,716

Art Unit: 2828

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 34, 36 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byron et al. (US 4847843) as applied to Claim 30 and 45.

Byron et al. lacks first and second lasers with identical components configured as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to duplicate the fiber laser of Byron et al., since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St Regis Paper Co. v Bemis Co. 193 USPQ 8.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to Claim 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey N Zahn whose telephone number is 703-305-3443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on 703-308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

effrey Zahn

May 30, 2003

PAUL IP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800